



**Joseph Cosentino**  
01/23/03 03:09 PM

To: Denise Zeno/R2/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc: John Witkowski/R2/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject: Re: Duane Marine

Denise,

The analytical data collected for soil sample locations S0-2 and S0-3, the samples that indicated PCB levels of possible removal concern (53 and 117 ppm) both received qualifiers upon validation. PCBs were detected, but the concentrations were tagged as only estimated. In addition, a duplicated of sample S0-2 initially at 53 ppm came up as 200 ppm.

So I decided to relocate the two locations, via GPS and resample, based upon the preliminary data PCBs were detected but not at removal levels. A total of 18 samples were collected, we used the original location as a center point and moved 5 feet and 10 feet out in a north, east, south and westerly direction. PCB results ranging from 0.06 ppm to 94.0 ppm. I should have the validated results next week (the week of the 27th) and will be writing up a brief site evaluation.

Hope this helps.

Denise Zeno

**Denise Zeno**  
01/22/03 05:22 PM

To: Joseph Cosentino/R2/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc: Dennis Munhall/R2/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject: Duane Marine

Joe,

Dennis sent me your voice message regarding the Duane Marine data; however, I can't figure out which data was not valid. I would like to speak with you to determine if the new findings has any effect on the HRS package that we are putting together.

Tomorrow -Thursday, January 23, 2003- I won't be in the office but you can reach me at (718)972-1430. I'll also check my e-mails throughout the day.

Talk to you soon!

thanks

Denise